of persons of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rouffett, 149 F.3d 1350, 1357 (Fed. Cir. 1998). Although television and radio both involve the receipt and transmission of information of over specific bands, the issues involved in the configuration and use of such systems is drastically different. Specifically, television systems inherently include access to viewable interfaces (i.e. the television screen) while radio systems do not. Thus, when designing interfaces that attempt to ease set-up and use of radios, one skilled in the art would not be motivated to look towards references involving television systems.

Moreover, even if there was a motivation to combine Yamamot with Hewitt, Yamamoto actually teaches away from the Applicant's invention. Although the disclosure in Yamamoto is not completely clear, it appears that in order to set the preset channels, the user of the Yamamoto system must tune to a specific channel, hit the # key on the remote control, and then choose the preset number with which the channel is to be associated. While Yamamoto discloses that the user may view a graphic that shows the created associations, this graphical display does not allow a user to graphically establish an association list between a first set of configuration data and a second set of displayed configuration data in a user profile in a remote database.

The Examiner also argues that the graphical interface for establishing an association between radio stations and preset buttons missing from the teachings of *Hewitt* is allegedly disclosed in Louderback. Louderback, however, is merely a critique of an expected new product introduction, which mentions only in a single sentence that "you can use a computer to set up preferences and assign stations to those buttons." As such, Applicant submits that Louderback is not an enabling disclosure and thus, would not enable one skilled in the art to practice the Applicant's invention as it provides no details of how a graphical interface could be used to allow assignment of the displayed stations to presets. Thus, Applicant submits that *Louderback* cannot be used as a basis for disclosing the graphical interface required by Claim 1.

Therefore, as neither Louderback nor Yamamoto may be properly combined with Hewitt to disclose all of the elements of Applicant's claims, Applicant submits that the prior art does not teach "a graphical interface on a first network device that displays an association page from which a user graphically establishes an association list between a first set of configuration data and a second set of displayed configuration data in a user profile in a remote database."

Additionally, even if Louderback was enabling and could be combined with Hewitt, there would be no motivation to combine Heredia with either of these references to show the use of a location identifier as required by Claim 1. The applicable disclosures of Hewitt and Louderback are both concerned with providing ease of use in setting up configurations for a radio (and a television in the case of Yamamoto). Heredia, however, is not concerned with set up and configuration of a radio, but is instead directed to means for determining what radio station is already being received by a radio. Specifically, Heredia teaches that, once the user has selected a radio station, the known location of the radio may be used to access a database of broadcast signals in the user's area at that frequency, allowing the radio to display the identity of the station already tuned. (Col. 4, line 22-Col. 5, line 31). Thus, while Hewitt and Louderback, are directed to providing the user with easier configuration procedures, Heredia is directed to identifying radio stations as they are being already received.

Furthermore, there would be no reason to in fact use a location identifier with the Internet radio reviewed in *Louderback*. By its very nature, Internet radio is not location specific, and thus has absolutely no need for a location identifier to be used for determining stations available to

Response to April 23, 2004 Office Action

Application No. 10/001,260

Page 11

the user. Accordingly, there can be no motivation to use the geographic identifier of Heredia in

conjunction with the computer that allegedly assigns "station presets" in Louderback.

In the office action, Claims 5, 7, 13 and 22 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

being allegedly unpatentable over Hewitt et al. in view of Louderback, Yamamoto et al., and

Heredia et al. as applied to claims 1, 10 and 19 above, and further in view of Steinmark (U.S.

Patent Application Publication US 2003/0001727 A1). Claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Hewitt et al. in view of Louderback, Yamamoto et

al., Heredia et al. and Steinmark as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Wachob et

al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,334,975). Claims 12 and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

being allegedly unpatentable over Hewitt et al. in view of Louderback, Yamamoto et al., Heredia

et al. as applied to claims 10 and 19 above, and further in view of Wachob et al. Claims 17 and

18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Hewitt et al. in

view of Heredia et al., and further in view of Steinmark. Applicant requests that these claims are

allowable for the same reasons as discussed above.

Response to April 23, 2004 Office Action Application No. 10/001,260 Page 12

## **CONCLUSION**

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that all present invention is in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any remaining issue, Applicant kindly requests that the Examiner contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 25, 2004

Jordan A. Sigale

Registration No. 39,028

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

P.O. Box 061080

Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080

(312) 876-8000

14403582